

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

fr



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,157	02/14/2001	Yuko Hiroshige	030675-050	8320
7590	07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Platon N. Mandros BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P. O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			BLACKWELL, JAMES H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fr

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/782,157

Applicant(s)

HIROSHIGE, YUKO

Examiner

James H Blackwell

Art Unit

2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/28/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 1 and its dependents set forth a "table data management means" that are not clearly set forth as being implemented on a computer or computer-readable-medium. The scope of the claim amounts to nothing more than manipulation of an abstract idea. At best, the claim would read on a computer program per se, which does not constitute statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Liaw et al. (hereinafter Liaw, U.S. Patent No. 5,572,644).

In regard to independent Claim 1 (and similarly independent Claim 14), Liaw teaches selecting a group of 3-D cell blocks, i.e., cell ranges spanning more than one

page. To extend the block (254) (of Fig. 2C) into a 3-D block, the user specifies an additional or 3rd dimension by selecting an appropriate page identifier (Col. 10, lines 19-23). In addition, Liaw teaches that pages may be selected or grouped together, thereby providing a means for changing multiple pages simultaneously. In much the same manner as cells from a spread are grouped into 2-D blocks, a range of pages are grouped by specifying beginning and end members (Col. 10, lines 29-33). In addition, with group mode active, an activity in a page which is a member of a group can also affect similarly situated cells of the other pages of the group (Col. 10, lines 52-54; compare to Claim 1 (and similarly Claim 14), “... **table data management means for defining a data block as a processable data group, the data block being composed of a plurality of (n-1)-dimensional tables (n is a natural number equal to or larger than 3), and for defining item data of the processable data group as n-dimensional data for data management**”).

In regard to dependent Claim 2 (and similarly dependent Claim 15), Liaw teaches that with group mode active, an activity in a page which is a member of a group can also affect similarly situated cells of the other pages of the group (Col. 10, lines 52-54; compare to Claim 2 (and similarly Claim 15), “... **calculation processing means for executing a table calculation function based on the item data in each dimension of the data block**”). Though Liaw does not explicitly teach a calculation means, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to assume, given the teaching of Liaw above, that page calculations would have taken

place and would have acted as claimed providing the benefit of updating or creating cells in the data block.

In regard to dependent Claim 3 (and similarly dependent Claim 16), Liaw teaches that whether 2-D or 3-D in nature, blocks of cells may be easily copied and cut (i.e., moved) using drag-and-drop editing techniques of the present invention. As shown in Fig. 4G for a 2-D block, for example, a method for copying a block of cells includes (1) selecting a source block by dragging a range of cells (e.g., mouse button-down events coupled with mouse movement across the range; close selection with a button-up event), (2) dragging the block (e.g., click within block, followed by repeated mouse button-down events), and (3) dropping the block (e.g., mouse button-up event at desired target location). In a similar fashion, 3-D blocks may be dragged and dropped (Col. 11, lines 28-39; compare with Claim 2 (and similarly Claim 15), "**... said table data management means execute a table editing function based on the item data of the data block in each dimension**").

In regard to dependent Claim 4 (and similarly dependent Claim 17), Liaw teaches that a simple nomenclature is available for specifying these solid blocks of information. In a preferred embodiment, a solid block is specified by: <<First Page>>..<<Last Page>>:<<First Cell>>..<<Last Cell>>. For example, a solid block may be defined as A..D:A1..C4, in which case the block spans from cells A1 to C4, and spans across Pages A-D. By permitting alias names (i.e., user-supplied alternative labels), the present invention allows the block to be specified as 1989 Sales..1992 Sales: A1..C4; or even 1989 Sales..1992 Sales: First Quarter..Third Quarter. Additionally, the present

Art Unit: 2176

invention readily accommodates notebook information as well, for example, [TAX]1989 Sales..1992 Sales: First Quarter..Third Quarter, thus permitting information to be linked across various notebooks. Wildcard characters (e.g., * and ?) may also be employed for cell, page, and notebook identifiers, as desired. Thus, the spreadsheet notebook of the present invention provides a 3-D interface which readily accommodates real-world information in a format the user understands (instead of forcing the user to adapt his or her information to fit an arbitrary spreadsheet model) (Col. 11, lines 1-24; compare with Claim 4 (and similarly Claim 17), “... **said table data management means enclose a portion of a sequence of tables with punctuation tables to define the data block**”).

In regard to dependent Claims 5 and 6, Claims 5 and 6 reflect the multi-dimensional table data management unit as claimed in Claim 4 and are rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent Claim 7, Liaw teaches in Figs. 2C-E, individual notebook pages are identified by page identifiers (260), preferably located along one edge of the notebook (250). In a preferred embodiment, each page identifier is in the form of a tab member (e.g., members 261a, 262a, 263a) situated along a bottom edge of the notebook. Each tab member may include representative indicia, such as textual or graphic labels, including user-selected titles representing the contents of a corresponding page (Col. 8, lines 57-65; compare with Claim 7, “... **a title is attached to each of the tables of the data block**”).

In regard to dependent Claim 8, Liaw teaches action buttons (229) provide automated spreadsheet operations, including sorting and summing operations. For

Art Unit: 2176

example, a Sort button, when invoked, performs a sort on data in a currently active block (Col. 8, lines 21-25; compare with Claim 8, **"... in response to a plurality of sorted item data for which sorting is specified in the data block and a sort direction thereof, said table data management means sort the entire data block by exchanging storage positions where item data for which the sorting is not specified is stored"**).

In regard to dependent Claim 10, Liaw teaches that a non-contiguous selection of pages may also be selected (even across different pages); for example, a selection of Pages A and D, but not B and C, may be achieved by selecting tabs A and D while depressing a second key (e.g., CTRL- key). Furthermore, groups may overlap (i.e., a page can be in more than one group), as desired. By selectively activating a group mode (e.g., by toggling group button 273), groupings may be temporarily turned off, in which case events are not percolated to other members of the group (Col. 10, lines 41-51; compare with Claim 10, **"... said table data management means combine a plurality of data blocks to generate a new data block"**).

In regard to dependent Claims 11-13, Claims 11-13 reflect the multi-dimensional table data management unit as claimed in Claim 10, and are rejected along the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2176

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liaw in view of Barg et al. (hereinafter Barg, U.S. Patent No. 6,707,454).

In regard to dependent Claim 9, Liaw does not specifically teach *rotate the data block according to a specified rotation axis, a rotation direction, and an angle to exchange storage positions of the item data*. However, Barg teaches that a "horizontal rotation" button places the three-dimensional multiscape view into the horizontal orientation and then rotates the three-dimensional multiscape view about an axis that is parallel to the vertical axis but which passes through the center of the horizontal plane of the three-dimensional multiscape view. The "arbitrary rotation" button allows the user to arbitrarily rotate the three-dimensional multiscape view about an arbitrary axis, by linking the direction and amount of movement of the mouse to the rotational axis and the amount of rotation of the three-dimensional multiscape view (Col. 8, lines 36-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Liaw and Barg providing the benefit of identifying important structures in data cubes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H Blackwell whose telephone number is 703-305-0940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on 703-305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


JOSEPH FEILD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

James H. Blackwell
06/16/04